

A note on exponential Efron-Stein inequalities

July 6, 2017

1 Introduction

For bounded functions of independent variables I give an entropy bound (Theorem 2 below) in terms of the operator V^+ introduced in [1] together with some corollaries which slightly improve over some - now classical - results in the theory of concentration inequalities. I also improve on the recent Bernstein-type inequality in [6].

2 A bound on the thermal variance

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be a probability space and $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ the algebra of bounded, measurable real valued functions on Ω . For $f \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ we define the thermal measure $\mu_{\beta f} = e^{\beta f} d\mu / E[e^{\beta f}]$, and the corresponding functionals of thermal expectation $E_{\beta f}[\cdot]$ and thermal variance $\sigma_{\beta f}^2[\cdot]$. We prove the

Lemma 1 *Let $0 \leq s \leq \beta$. Then*

$$\sigma_{sf}^2(f) \leq E_{x \sim \mu_{\beta f}} \left[E_{x' \sim \mu} \left[(f(x) - f(x'))_+^2 \right] \right].$$

Proof. Let ψ be any real function. By direct computation

$$\frac{d}{d\beta} E_{\beta f}[\psi(f)] = E_{\beta f}[\psi(f)f] - E_{\beta f}[\psi(f)] E_{\beta f}[f]. \quad (1)$$

By Chebychev's association inequality $E_{\beta f}[\psi(f)]$ is nonincreasing (nondecreasing) in β if ψ is nonincreasing (nondecreasing). Now define $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g(s, t) = E_{x \sim \mu_{sf}} \left[E_{x' \sim \mu_{tf}} \left[(f(x) - f(x'))^2 1_{f(x) \geq f(x')} \right] \right],$$

so that

$$\sigma_{sf}^2(f) = \frac{1}{2} E_{x \sim \mu_{sf}} \left[E_{x' \sim \mu_{sf}} \left[(f(x) - f(x'))^2 \right] \right] = g(s, s).$$

Now for fixed x the function $(f(x) - f(x'))^2 1_{f(x) \geq f(x')}$ is nonincreasing in $f(x')$, so $g(s, t)$ is nonincreasing in t . On the other hand, for fixed x' , $(f(x) - f(x'))^2 1_{f(x) \geq f(x')}$

is nondecreasing in $f(x)$, so $g(s, t)$ is nondecreasing in s (this involves exchanging the two expectations in the definition of $g(s, t)$). So, since $\mu_{0f} = \mu$, we get from $0 \leq s \leq \beta$ that

$$\sigma_{sf}^2(f) = g(s, s) \leq g(\beta, 0) = E_{x \sim \mu_{\beta f}} \left[E_{x' \sim \mu} \left[(f(x) - f(x'))_+^2 \right] \right].$$

■

Here is another way to write the conclusion: let $h \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ be defined by $h(x) = E_{x' \sim \mu} \left[(f(x) - f(x'))_+^2 \right]$. Then $\sigma_{sf}^2(f) \leq E_{\beta f}[h]$.

3 Some background material

The contents of this section are explained in more detail in [5]. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu) = \prod_{k=1}^n (\Omega_k, \mathcal{M}_k, \mu_k)$ be a product of probability spaces. For $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $y \in \Omega_k$ we define the substitution operator S_y^k on $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ by

$$(S_y^k f)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, y, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n).$$

The conditional expectation operator E_k is defined by

$$E_k f = \int_{\Omega_k} S_y^k f d\mu_k.$$

For $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ the conditional thermal measure is $\mu_{k, \beta f} = e^{\beta f} d\mu_k / E_k[e^{\beta f}]$ and the conditional thermal expectations $E_{k, \beta f}[\cdot]$ and variances $\sigma_{k, \beta f}^2$ are defined correspondingly. The entropy $S_f(\beta)$ of f at β is given by

$$S_f(\beta) = \beta E_{\beta f}[f] - \ln E[e^{\beta f}].$$

Again the conditional entropy $S_{k, f}(\beta)$ is the analogous member of $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$, where the expectation E is replaced by E_k . The following three identities are obtained from straightforward computations (see [5])

$$\ln E[e^{\beta(f-Ef)}] = \beta \int_0^\beta \frac{S_f(\gamma)}{\gamma^2} d\gamma \quad (2)$$

$$S_f(\beta) = \int_0^\beta \int_t^\beta \sigma_{sf}^2(f) ds dt \quad (3)$$

$$S_{k, f}(\beta) = \int_0^\beta \int_t^\beta \sigma_{k, sf}^2(f) ds dt. \quad (4)$$

We also have the well known thermal subadditivity of entropy

$$S_f(\beta) \leq E_{\beta f} \left[\sum_{k=1}^n S_{k, f}(\beta) \right],$$

which, together with (4) gives

$$S_f(\beta) \leq E_{\beta f} \left[\sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^\beta \int_t^\beta \sigma_{k, sf}^2(f) ds dt \right]. \quad (5)$$

4 Exponential Efron Stein inequalities

Define two operators D and V^+ on $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} D(f) &= \sum_k \left(f - \inf_{y \in \Omega_k} S_y^k f \right)^2 \\ V^+(f) &= \sum_k E_{y \sim \mu_k} \left[(f - S_y^k f)_+^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly we have $V^+(f) \leq D(f)$ for any $f \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 2 For $\beta > 0$

$$S_f(\beta) \leq \frac{\beta^2}{2} E_{\beta f} [V^+(f)].$$

Proof. For $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ write $h_k = E_{y \sim \mu_k} \left[(f - S_y^k f)_+^2 \right]$, so that $V^+(f) = \sum_k h_k$. The conditional version of Lemma 1 then reads for $0 \leq s \leq \beta$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

$$\sigma_{k,sf}^2(f) \leq E_{k,\beta f} [h_k].$$

Substitution in (5) gives

$$\begin{aligned} S_f(\beta) &\leq \int_0^\beta \int_t^\beta \sum_k E_{\beta f} [\sigma_{k,sf}^2(f)] ds dt \\ &\leq \int_0^\beta \int_t^\beta \sum_k E_{\beta f} [E_{k,\beta f} [h_k]] ds dt \\ &= \int_0^\beta \int_t^\beta \sum_k E_{\beta f} [h_k] ds dt \\ &= \frac{\beta^2}{2} E_{\beta f} [V^+(f)], \end{aligned}$$

where we used the identity $E_{\beta f} [E_{k,\beta f} [h]] = E_{\beta f} [h]$ for $h \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$. ■

Spelling this out for comparison with Proposition 10 in [1] gives for $\beta \geq 0$

$$\beta E [f e^{\beta f}] - E [e^{\beta f}] \ln [e^{\beta f}] \leq \frac{\beta^2}{2} E [e^{\beta f} V^+(f)].$$

In the sequel some corollaries are given.

Corollary 3

$$\Pr \{f - Ef > t\} \leq \exp \left(\frac{-t^2}{2 \|V^+(f)\|_\infty} \right).$$

Proof. By (2)

$$\ln E \left[e^{\beta(f-Ef)} \right] = \beta \int_0^\beta \frac{S_f(\gamma)}{\gamma^2} d\gamma \leq \frac{\beta}{2} \int_0^\beta E_{\gamma f} [V^+(f)] d\gamma \leq \frac{\beta^2}{2} \|V^+(f)\|_\infty.$$

The result then follows from a straightforward application of the exponential moment method. ■

This corollary improves on Theorem 1 (1) in [4] by using the tighter functional $\|V^+(\cdot)\|_\infty$ instead of $\|D(f)\|_\infty$, and it improves the exponent in Corollary 3 in [1] by a factor of 2. In a similar way the following improves on Theorem 13 (1) in [4] (and Theorem 6.19 in [3]) and on Theorem 5 in [1].

Corollary 4 *Suppose there are positive constants a and b such that*

$$V^+(f) \leq af + b.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \ln E e^{\beta f} &\leq \frac{\beta E[f]}{1 - \frac{1}{2}a\beta} + \frac{\beta^2 b/2}{1 - \frac{1}{2}a\beta} \\ \text{and } \Pr\{f - Ef > t\} &\leq \exp\left(\frac{-t^2}{2aE[f] + 2b + at}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We start by bounding the log moment generating function as above

$$\begin{aligned} \ln E e^{\beta(f-Ef)} &\leq \frac{\beta}{2} \int_0^\beta E_{\gamma f} [V^+(f)] d\gamma \leq \frac{a\beta}{2} \int_0^\beta E_{\gamma f} [f] d\gamma + \frac{\beta^2}{2} b \\ &= \frac{a\beta}{2} \ln E e^{\beta f} + \frac{\beta^2}{2} b \\ &= \frac{a\beta}{2} \ln E e^{\beta(f-Ef)} + \frac{\beta^2}{2} (aE[f] + b). \end{aligned}$$

Rearrangement gives for $\beta \in (0, 2/a)$

$$\ln E e^{\beta(f-Ef)} \leq \frac{\beta^2}{1 - \frac{1}{2}a\beta} \left(\frac{a}{2} E[f] + \frac{b}{2} \right).$$

This implies the first conclusion and gives the second one by proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 13 in [4]. ■

Next I apply the V_+ bounds to the suprema of empirical processes. The proof uses the inequality

$$E_{\beta f} [g] \leq S_f(\beta) + \ln E [e^g], \quad (6)$$

which can be derived from Jensen's inequality.

Theorem 5 Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent with values in \mathcal{X} with X_i distributed as μ_i , and let \mathcal{F} be a finite class of functions $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ with $E[f(X_i)] = 0$. Define $F : \mathcal{X}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $W : \mathcal{X}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathbf{x}) &= \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_i f(x_i) \text{ and} \\ W(\mathbf{x}) &= \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_i (f^2(x_i) + E[f^2(X_i)]). \end{aligned}$$

Then for $t > 0$

$$\Pr\{F - E[F] > t\} \leq \exp\left(\frac{-t^2}{2E[W] + t}\right).$$

This improves over Theorem 12.2 in [3], since by the triangle inequality $E[W] \leq \Sigma^2 + \sigma^2$ and the constants in the denominator of the exponent are better by a factor of two, and optimal for the variance term. Furthermore the proof is more economical and elementary, relying exclusively on the LSI of Theorem 2.

Proof. Let $0 < \gamma \leq \beta < 2$. Using Theorem 2 and (6) we get

$$S_F(\gamma) \leq \frac{\gamma^2}{2\gamma} E_{\gamma F}[\gamma V^+(F)] \leq \frac{\gamma}{2} [S_F(\gamma) + \ln E e^{\gamma V^+(F)}].$$

Rearranging gives

$$S_F(\gamma) \leq \frac{\gamma}{2 - \gamma} \ln E e^{\gamma V^+(F)}. \quad (7)$$

Fix some $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}^n$ and let $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{F}$ witness the maximum in the definition of $F(\mathbf{x})$. For $y \in \mathcal{X}$ we have $(F - S_y^k F)_+ \leq (\hat{f}(x_i) - \hat{f}(y))_+$ and by the zero mean assumption

$$\begin{aligned} V_+(F)(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_k E_{y \sim \mu_k} \left[(F(\mathbf{x}) - S_y^k F(\mathbf{x}))_+^2 \right] \\ &\leq \sum_k E_{y \sim \mu_k} \left(\hat{f}(x_k) - \hat{f}(y) \right)_+^2 \\ &\leq \sum_k E_{y \sim \mu_k} \left(\hat{f}(x_k) - \hat{f}(y) \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_k \left(\hat{f}^2(x_k) + E[\hat{f}^2(X_k)] \right) \\ &\leq W(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned}$$

So $V_+(F) \leq W$. Now let $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{F}$ (different from the previous \hat{f} , which we don't need any more) witness the maximum in the definition of $W(\mathbf{x})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} V_+(W)(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_k E_{y \sim \mu_k} (W(\mathbf{x}) - S_y^k W(\mathbf{x}))_+^2 \\ &\leq \sum_k E_{y \sim \mu_k} \left[\left(\hat{f}^2(x_k) - \hat{f}^2(y) \right)_+^2 \right] \\ &\leq \sum_k \hat{f}^2(x_k) \\ &\leq W. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (7), the fact that $V_+(F) \leq W$ and Corollary 4 above, that

$$\begin{aligned} S_F(\gamma) &\leq \frac{\gamma}{2-\gamma} \ln E e^{\gamma V_+(F)} \\ &\leq \frac{\gamma}{2-\gamma} \ln E [e^{\gamma W}] \\ &\leq \frac{\gamma}{2-\gamma} \left(\frac{\gamma E[W]}{1-\gamma/2} \right) \\ &= \frac{\gamma^2}{(1-\gamma/2)^2} \frac{E[W]}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

From the bound on the log moment generating function (2) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \ln E e^{\beta(F-EF)} &= \beta \int_0^\beta \frac{S_F(\gamma)}{\gamma^2} d\gamma \leq \beta \int_0^\beta \frac{1}{(1-\gamma/2)^2} d\gamma \frac{E[W]}{2} \\ &= \frac{\beta^2}{1-\beta/2} \frac{E[W]}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 12 in [4] it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr \{F - E[F] > t\} &\leq \inf_{\beta \in (0,2)} \exp \left(-\beta t + \frac{\beta^2}{1-\beta/2} \frac{E[W]}{2} \right) \\ &\leq \exp \left(\frac{-t^2}{2E[W] + t} \right). \end{aligned}$$

■

5 Softening the interaction functional

Another application of Theorem 2 is a subtle improvement of the interaction functional used in the Bernstein-type inequality in [6]. For $f \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ define

$$J_\mu^+(f) = 2 \left(\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} \sum_l E_{z \sim \mu_l} \left[\sum_{k:k \neq l} \sigma_k^2 (f - S_z^l f) 1_{A_l}(z) \right] \right)^{1/2},$$

where $A_l = A_l(\mathbf{x})$ is the subset of Ω_l defined by

$$A_l = \{z \in \Omega_l : S_z^l \Sigma^2(f) \leq \Sigma^2(f)\}.$$

A_l is a set-valued function depending on $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$. Clearly $J_\mu^+(f) \leq J_\mu(f)$ for any f .

The modification works as follows. Thanks to Theorem 2 the operator D can simply be replaced by V^+ in Lemma 9, Lemma 10 and Proposition 14 in [6]. Proposition 15 in [6] then has to be replaced by the following.

Proposition 6 *We have $V^+(\Sigma^2(f)) \leq J_\mu^+(f)^2 \Sigma^2(f)$ for any $f \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Fix $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$. For any $z \in \Omega_l$

$$S_z^l \Sigma^2(f) = \sum_k S_z^l \sigma_k^2(f) = \sigma_l^2(f) + \sum_{k:k \neq l} S_z^l \sigma_k^2(f),$$

where we used the fact that $S_{z_l}^l \sigma_l^2(f) = \sigma_l^2(f)$, because $\sigma_l^2(f) \in \mathcal{A}_l(\Omega)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} V^+(\Sigma^2(f)) &= \sum_l E_{z \sim \mu_l} \left[(\Sigma^2(f) - S_z^l \Sigma^2(f))^2 1_{A_l}(z) \right] \\ &= \sum_l E_{z \sim \mu_l} \left[\left(\sum_k \sigma_k^2(f) - \sigma_l^2(f) - \sum_{k:k \neq l} S_z^l \sigma_k^2(f) \right)^2 1_{A_l}(z) \right] \\ &= \sum_l E_{z \sim \mu_l} \left[\left(\sum_{k:k \neq l} (\sigma_k^2(f) - S_z^l \sigma_k^2(f)) \right)^2 1_{A_l}(z) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using $2\sigma_k^2(f) = E_{(y,y') \sim \mu_k^2} (D_{y,y'}^k f)^2$ we get, similar to [6],

$$\begin{aligned} &4V^+(\Sigma^2(f)) \\ &= \sum_l E_{z \sim \mu_l} \left[\left(\sum_{k:k \neq l} E_{(y,y') \sim \mu_k^2} (D_{y,y'}^k f)^2 - S_z^l E_{(y,y') \sim \mu_k^2} (D_{y,y'}^k f)^2 \right)^2 1_{A_l}(z) \right] \\ &= \sum_l E_{z \sim \mu_l} \left[\left(\sum_{k \neq l} E_{(y,y') \sim \mu_k^2} [(D_{y,y'}^k f - D_{y,y'}^k S_z^l f)(D_{y,y'}^k f + D_{y,y'}^k S_z^l f)] \right)^2 1_{A_l}(z) \right] \\ &\leq \sum_l E_{z \sim \mu_l} \left[\sum_{k:k \neq l} E_{(y,y') \sim \mu_k^2} [D_{y,y'}^k (f - S_z^l f)]^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \sum_{k:k \neq l} E_{(y,y') \sim \mu_k^2} [D_{y,y'}^k f + D_{y,y'}^k S_z^l f]^2 1_{A_l}(z) \right] \end{aligned}$$

by Cauchy-Schwarz. We use Hölder's inequality to bound this by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_l E_{z \sim \mu_l} \left[\sum_{k:k \neq l} E_{(y,y') \sim \mu_k^2} [D_{y,y'}^k (f - S_z^l f)]^2 1_{A_l}(z) \right] \times \\ & \times \sup_{z \in A_l} \sum_{k:k \neq l} E_{(y,y') \sim \mu_k^2} [D_{y,y'}^k f + D_{y,y'}^k S_z^l f]^2 \end{aligned}$$

We then bound the supremum by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{z \in A_l} \sum_{k:k \neq l} E_{(y,y') \sim \mu_k^2} [D_{y,y'}^k f + D_{y,y'}^k S_z^l f]^2 \\ & \leq \sup_{z \in A_l} \sum_{k:k \neq l} E_{(y,y') \sim \mu_k^2} \left[2 (D_{y,y'}^k f)^2 + 2 (D_{y,y'}^k S_z^l f)^2 \right] \\ & = 4 \sum_{k:k \neq l} \sigma_k^2(f) + 4 \sup_{z \in A_l} S_z^l \sum_{k:k \neq l} \sigma_k^2(f) \\ & \leq 4 \left(\Sigma^2(f) + \sup_{z \in A_l} S_z^l \Sigma^2(f) \right) \leq 8 \Sigma^2(f), \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the definition of A_l . To conclude

$$\begin{aligned} V^+(\Sigma^2(f)) & \leq 2 \sum_l E_{z \sim \mu_l} \left[\sum_{k:k \neq l} E_{(y,y') \sim \mu_k^2} [D_{y,y'}^k (f - S_z^l f)]^2 1_{A_l}(z) \right] \Sigma^2(f) \\ & \leq 4 \sup_{x \in \Omega} \sum_l E_{z \sim \mu_l} \left[\sum_{k:k \neq l} \sigma_k^2(f - S_z^l f) 1_{A_l}(z) \right] \Sigma^2(f) \\ & = (J_\mu^+)^2(f) \Sigma^2(f). \end{aligned}$$

■

Substitution in the appropriately modified Proposition 14 of [6] then gives the main result in [6] with J_μ replaced by J_μ^+ .

References

- [1] S. BOUCHERON, G. LUGOSI, P. MASSART, Concentration Inequalities using the entropy method, *Annals of Probability* 31, Nr 3, 2003
- [2] S. BOUCHERON, G. LUGOSI, P. MASSART, On concentration of self-bounding functions, *Electronic Journal of Probability* Vol.14 (2009), Paper no. 64, 1884–1899, 2009
- [3] S. Boucheron, G. Lugosi, P. Massart. Concentration Inequalities, Oxford University Press (2013)

- [4] A.MAURER. Concentration inequalities for functions of independent variables. *Random Structures and Algorithms* 29: 121–138, 2006
- [5] A.MAURER, Thermodynamics and concentration. *Bernoulli* 18.2 (2012): 434-454.
- [6] Maurer, A. (2017). A Bernstein-type inequality for functions of bounded interaction. arXiv preprint arXiv:1701.06191.